

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Ronald Berryhill	
Plaintiff(s), V.	17-cv-02270 Judge Jorge L. Alonso Magistrate Judge Young B. Kim
Illinois State Toll	RECEIVED
Highway Puthority	MAR 24 2017 W
Defendant(s).	THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
COMPLAINT OF EMI	PLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION
1. This is an action for employment discri	mination.
2. The plaintiff is Ronald Bee	of the
county of Cook	in the state of TLL.
3. The defendant is ILLinois Star	te #011 Highway Authority, whose
street address is 2700 west	,
	(state) = LC (ZIP) 60515
(Defendant's telephone number) (Ĉŝo)-241-6800
4. The plaintiff sought employment or was	s employed by the defendant at (street address)
2700 West Oglan Au	renue (city) Downer grove
(county) Coof (state) IL	(ZIP code) 60515

Case: 1:17-cv-02270 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/24/17 Page 2 of 8 PageID #:2

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

5.	The plaintiff [check one box]							
	(a)		was denied emp	loyment by the defe	endant.			
	(b)		was hired and is	still employed by t	he defendant.			
	(c)		was employed b	ut is no longer emp	loyed by the defe	endant.		
6.		ne defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about, nonth), (day), (year)						
7.1	(Che	oose p	paragraph 7.1 or	7.2, do not complet	e both.)			
	(a)			federal governments \side has not filed a	•	•	endant	
		asse	erting the acts of di	iscrimination indica	ated in this comp	laint with any of	the	
	*	follo	owing government	agencies:				
		(i)	☐ the United Sta	ates Equal Employi	ment Opportunity	y Commission, or	n or about	
			(month)	(day)	(year)	•		
		(ii)	☐ the Illinois D	Department of Hum	an Rights, on or	about		
			(month)	(day)	(year)			
	(b)	If ch	narges were filed v	vith an agency indi	cated above, a co	py of the charge	is	
		atta	ched. □ Yes, □	No, but plaintiff v	vill file a copy of	the charge with	ıin 14 days	
	It is	the p	olicy of both the E	Equal Employment	Opportunity Con	nmission and the	Illinois	
	Dep	artme	ent of Human Righ	its to cross-file with	n the other agenc	y all charges rece	eived. The	
	plair	ntiff h	as no reason to be	lieve that this polic	cy was not follow	ed in this case.		
7.2	The	defer	ndant is a federal g	governmental agenc	ey, and			
	(a)	th	e plaintiff previou	sly filed a Complai	nt of Employmer	nt Discrimination	with the	
	[11	f you ne	eed additional space for A	ANY section, please attac	h an additional sheet a	nd reference that section	on.]	

Rev. 06/27/2016

Case: 1:17-cv-02270 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/24/17 Page 3 of 8 PageID #:3

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

	defend	dant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this court complaint.
		☐ Yes (month) (day) (year)
		☐ No, did not file Complaint of Employment Discrimination
	(b)	The plaintiff received a Final Agency Decision on (month)
		(day) (year)
	(c)	Attached is a copy of the
		(i) Complaint of Employment Discrimination,
		☐ Yes ☐ No, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.
		(ii) Final Agency Decision
		☐ Yes ☐ N0, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.
8.	(Comp	plete paragraph 8 only if defendant is not a federal governmental agency.)
	(a) 🗆	the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has not
		issued a Notice of Right to Sue.
	(b) 🗆	the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has issued
		a Notice of Right to Sue, which was received by the plaintiff on
		(month) (day) (year) a copy of which
		Notice is attached to this complaint.
9.	The de	efendant discriminated against the plaintiff because of the plaintiff's [check only
	those	that apply]:
	(a) C	Age (Age Discrimination Employment Act).
	(b) C	Color (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).

Case: 1:17-cv-02270 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/24/17 Page 4 of 8 PageID #:4

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

	(c) Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitation Act)
	(d) Dational Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
	(e) Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
	(f) Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
	(g)
10.	If the defendant is a state, county, municipal (city, town or village) or other local
	governmental agency, plaintiff further alleges discrimination on the basis of race, color, or
	national origin (42 U.S.C. § 1983).
11.	Jurisdiction over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: for Title VII claims
	by 28 U.S.C.§1331, 28 U.S.C.§1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C.§2000e-5(f)(3); for 42
	U.S.C.§1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C.§1988; for the A.D.E.A. by 42 U.S.C.§12117; for the
	Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 791.
12.	The defendant [check only those that apply] (a) □ failed to hire the plaintiff.
	(b) If terminated the plaintiff's employment.
	(c) □ failed to promote the plaintiff.
	(d) ☐ failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
	(e) If failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.
	(f) ☐ failed to stop harassment;
	(g) Pretaliated against the plaintiff because the plaintiff did something to assert rights protected by the laws identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 above;
	(h) □ other (specify):

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.] 13. The facts supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows: [AGE DISCRIMINATION ONLY] Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willfully 14. discriminated against the plaintiff. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. ✓ Yes ☐ No 15. 16. THEREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintiff [check only those that apply] ☐ Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff. (a) (b) ☐ Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff. (c) ☐ Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff. (d) ☐ Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion. Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities. (e) □ Direct the defendant to (specify): Compinsate for the time (f) Lost

Case: 1:17-cv-02270 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/24/17 Page 5 of 8 PageID #:5

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

Case: 1:17-cv-02270 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/24/17 Page 6 of 8 PageID #:6

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.] If available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive relief, lost wages, (g) liquidated/double damages, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorney fees and expert witness fees. Grant such other relief as the Court may find appropriate. (h) Rould Buffill
(Plaintiff's signature) Rongly Berry L. 11
(Plaintiff's name) Pobox /7 4
(Plaintiff's street address) (City) Blue Island (State) IL (ZIP) 60406

(Plaintiff's telephone number) (701) - 537 - 4974

Date: 3-22-17

March 17, 2017 I received a decision from the appellate court, which brings me to ask the court to view my case. I exercise all of my power of calling the Bar association numerous of time, and yet no one wants to take my case on the behalf, because it the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority. I'm asking the courts to view my case, and consider in appointing me a pro bono attorney to help me with my case, and if need to be, my case need to be taken to a jury trial. I'm suing my employer (Illinois State Toll Highway Authority) for violating the disability act, racial discrimination, and wrongful termination. I had this case in the appellate court under the Honorable Robert Lopez Cepero, No 15-L-50142, and he felt that disability act, wrongful termination, and racial discriminating was procedurally properly be raised in a civil action. I'm asking the court to view the wrongful termination, racial discrimination, and the going against the disability act. I had my case in the appellate court, and they said this was not in their jurisdiction, and this was somehow switch around to a workman comp case, but was originally supposed to be for the Disability Act, racial discrimination and wrongful termination. I'm doing my case pro-se and being disable and not aware on how to put thing in the right place may take me a little longer, because of the time restraint. The appellate court recognized that there was racial discrimination and wrongful discharge, and going against the Disability Act. The appellate court sought at this point that this is a procedurally, and properly could be raised in a civil action separate from workman comp. I don't know all the codes that go along with my asking, but I'm asking the court to review my case under the Disability Act, and the civil right act of a wrongful termination, and racial discrimination of Ronald Berryhill. I see in favor of the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority in the appellate courts stating "appearing in the record regardless of whether the particular reasons given by the trial court, or its specific finding are correct or sound" but I'm doing my case pro se, and I don't know all of the rule, code, and citation it take for our justice system to see my case through when there is wrong be being done. I'm asking the court to hold the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority accountable for their action of discriminating against my rights, of being Disable, wrongfully terminated, and racial discriminating. I'm asking the court to review my case based on the letter to sue from the EEOC and Human Rights against the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority. February 25, 2016 filed a petition for judicial review in the circuit court of Cook County I ask for a continuance to find my letter, and because of my disability may have led to the point that I couldn't give it to the judge on a timely matter, but I submitted everything in the appellate court. They're a lot of code that goes along with a law suit

that I'm not aware of, and how to define a "cohesive argument" on how to present and pertinent authority cited. Obvert V. Seville, 253iii.App. 3d677, 682(1993). I've been in pain from 2003 until today, and I had a major surgery that left me permanent in pain stemming from the accident at the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for the rest of my life, that lead I to be disable. I'm asking the Federal court system to assist me with a pro bono attorney to help me throughout my family and I; whom has suffer from 2004 until today from a Disability Act, racial discrimination, and wrongfully termination. I'm asking the court to restore everything from the time that was lost from 2004 until today, the wrongfully termination, racial discrimination, and going against the disability act is on the table. I'm asking the court to take in consideration that I need and attorney to pursue in my case against the Illinois State Toll highway Authority.